

Not every matrix can be diagonalized. We will get as close as possible using an invertible matrix.

Recall the enemy:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is not diagonalizable.

Definition: (block diagonal form)

Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .  $A$  is in

block diagonal form if  $\exists$

$k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$

with  $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n$  and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & & & \\ & A_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A_k \end{bmatrix}$$

with  $A_i \in M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$ .

Example 1:  $A \in M_6(\mathbb{C})$ ,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 15 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5-3i & 1 & 16 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 15 & -7 & 32i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 12 & -4 & 16 \end{bmatrix}$$

is in block diagonal form.

$$n_1 = 2, \quad n_2 = 1, \quad n_3 = 3$$

## Definition: (Jordan block)

If  $A$  is in block-diagonal form and  $A_i$  is a block of  $A$ ,  $A_i$  is called a

**Jordan block** if  $\exists \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with

$$A_i = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & 0 \\ & \lambda & 1 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & & & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

Idea: A Jordan block has the same entry on the diagonal and a 1 to the right of each diagonal entry, zeros everywhere else.

Block of size 1 = scalar

Theorem: (Jordan canonical form)

Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $A$  is similar to a block diagonal matrix, all of whose blocks are Jordan blocks.

Theorem: (Jordan canonical form)

Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $A$  is similar to a block diagonal matrix, all of whose blocks are Jordan blocks.

We will first reduce to a simpler case, which will be used to obtain the general result.



Recall: (nilpotent matrix)

$A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is called nilpotent  
if  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A^k = O$ .

The smallest such  $k$  is  
called the index of  $A$ .

e.g.  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is

nilpotent of index 2 i

$$A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Proposition: (eigenvalues of nilpotent)

Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , suppose  $A$  is nilpotent. Then zero is the only eigenvalue of  $A$ .

proof: Zero is an eigenvalue of  $A$  since if  $A \neq 0$ ,  $\exists x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $Ax \neq 0$ .

But if  $k = \text{index of } A$ ,  
 $A^k x = 0$ .

If  $A^{k-1}x \neq 0$ , then

$y = A^{k-1}x$  is an eigenvector  
for the eigenvalue zero.

If not,  $\exists m, 1 \leq m < k-1$   
such that

$$A^m x \neq 0, \text{ but}$$

$$A^{m+1} x = 0.$$

$\Rightarrow A^m x$  is an eigenvector  
for the eigenvalue zero.

Now suppose

$$Ax = \lambda x.$$

$$\text{Then } A^k x = A^{k-1} (Ax)$$

$$= A^{k-1} (\lambda x)$$

$$= A^{k-2} (\lambda Ax)$$

$$= A^{k-2} (\lambda^2 x)$$

$\vdots$

$$= \lambda^k x$$

But  $k = \text{index of } A \Rightarrow A^k = 0$

$$\Rightarrow A^k x = 0.$$

Then  $0 = \lambda^k x$   
 $\Rightarrow$  either  $\lambda = 0$   
or  $x = 0$  and hence  
is not an eigenvector.  
Therefore, the only eigenvalue  
for  $A$  is  $\lambda = 0$ .

□

Proposition: (iterates) Suppose

$A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .

If  $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq 2$ ,

such that

$$A^k x = 0 \text{ but } A^{k-1} x \neq 0,$$

then  $\{A^i x\}_{i=0}^{k-1}$  ( $A^0 = I_n$ )

is linearly independent.

Proof: Suppose  $\exists$  such  $k, x$   
for a given  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

Suppose  $\exists \{\alpha_i\}_{i=0}^{k-1}$

with 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_i A^i x = 0.$$

Suppose  $\exists j, 0 \leq j \leq k-1,$

$\alpha_j \neq 0$ , and suppose

$\alpha_i = 0 \forall i < j.$

If  $j=0$ : Apply  $A$  to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_i A^i x = 0.$$

$$A \left( \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_i A^i x \right) = A 0 = 0$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_i A^{i+1} x = 0$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_{i-1} A^i x = 0$$



Then

$$\alpha_0 A x = - \sum_{i=2}^k \alpha_{i-1} A^i x$$

$$A x = - \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{\alpha_{i-1}}{\alpha_0} A^i x$$

Apply  $A^{k-2}$  to both sides:

$$A^{k-1} x = - \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{\alpha_{i-1}}{\alpha_0} A^{i+k-2} x$$

$$= - \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_0} A^{k+i} x$$

$$\text{But } A^{k+i}x = A^i(A^kx)$$

$$= A^i(0)$$

$$= 0 \quad (\forall 0 \leq i \leq k-1)$$

$\Rightarrow Ax = 0$ , contradiction.

Therefore,  $\alpha_0 = 0!$

The same proof, using  $j$  instead of zero, will yield

$$\alpha_j = 0 \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq k-1$$

$\Rightarrow \{A^i x\}_{i=0}^{k-1}$  is linearly independent  $\square$

Then by the previous prop.,

$\{A^i x\}_{i=0}^{k-1}$  is linearly

independent in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Recall that the cardinality  
of any linearly independent

set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is less than

or equal to  $n$ , contradiction

since  $k = |\{A^i x\}_{i=0}^{k-1}| > n$ .

Therefore,  $k \leq n$ .



Corollary: (index) Let  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  be nilpotent. Then the index of  $A$  is less than or equal to  $n$ .

proof:

Suppose  $k = \text{index of } A$ ,  
 $k > n$ . Then  $\exists x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,

$$A^k x = 0, \quad A^{k-1} x \neq 0.$$

(if not, then  $A^{k-1} x = 0$

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{C}^n \Rightarrow A^{k-1} = 0,$$

contradicts minimality of the index).

# Jordan Canonical Form, Nilpotents

If  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is nilpotent,  
then  $A$  is similar to  
a block diagonal matrix,  
all of whose blocks are  
of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & b_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}$  in the case of a  
 $1 \times 1$  block )